

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/622,094	Applicant(s) GROSS ET AL.	
	Examiner James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1618	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.

 (3) Mike Mazza

 (2) Felissa H Cagen

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 March 2007
Time: 2:30 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-41

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with Felissa H Cagan in regards to the status of application 10/622,094, a response was due on 3/12/2007, since Mrs. Cagen was not in her office the examiner left a message. Mike Mazza # 30,775 another attorney of record for applicants called the examiner back on May 9th at 1:40 PM and expressed the desire of applicants to abandon this case.